

## SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COUNSEL SLIP/ENDORSEMENT

COURT FILE

NO.: CV-19-00628258-00CL

DATE: 12-APR-2022

TITLE OF Law Society of Ontario V. Sorrenti et al BEFORE MADAM JUSTICE KIMMEL

NAMES OF COUNSEL AND PARTY:			
$\boxtimes$	APPLICANT(S) Jeremy Dacks; Michael De Lellis; Mary		
	Paterson; Chloe Nanfara for FAAN Mortgage		
	Administrators Inc., the Court Appointed Trustee of the	PHONE	
	respondents' assets etc. relating to syndicated	THORE	
	mortgage loans in projects affiliated with Fortress Real		
	Developments Inc. (the "Trustee")		
	PLAINTIFF(S)		
	PLAINTIFF(S)		
			jdacks@osler.com
			mdelellis@osler.com
		EMAIL	mpaterson@osler.com
			cnanfara@osler.com;
NAMES OF COUNSEL AND PARTY:			
	DEFENDANT(S)	PHONE	
	RESPONDENT(S)		
	DEFENDANT(S)		
H		EMAIL	
	RESPONDENT(S)		
		PHONE	
		EMAIL	
ΝΔΙ	MES OF COUNSEL AND OTHER PARTIES:	PHONE	
<u></u>		-	
Х	George Benchetrit, representative counsel	-	and the literation of the second second
			george@chaitons.com
Х	Naveed Manzoor and Daniel Sobel, representatives of		
~	the Trustee, FAAN Mortgage Administrators Inc.	EMAIL	naveed@faanmortgageadmi
		2	n.com;
			Daniel@faanmortgageadmin
			.com
		PHONE	
		-	
		EMAIL	
		-	
		-	
		-	

## ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE KIMMEL:

[1] The Trustee seeks to amend the First Omnibus Order signed by Justice Hainey in this matter, to reduce the administrative holdback (from 50% to 35%) and thereby increase distributions to investors (from 50% to 65%). Representative counsel for the investors supports this amendment. This motion also seeks the court's approval of the activities of the Trustee and the fees of the Trustee and its counsel since the last omnibus approval order in this proceeding dated May 4, 2021, and a sealing order consistent with prior sealing orders made in this proceeding.

[2] The entire service list (comprised of approximately 75 counsel) was served with this motion on March 1, 2022. None have indicated any opposition to the motion and no one appeared at the hearing. The investors were also directly notified of this intended motion and invited to request further information about the hearing, including the zoom hearing link, and none of the investors asked to participate. The motion thus proceeded on the basis of no opposition, and was supported by the court appointed representative counsel.

[3] The relief requested is appropriate:

- a. The reduction in the administrative holdback increases the distributions to investors from past and ongoing realizations;
- b. The Trustee's activities have been thoroughly explained in the comprehensive update contained in the Trustee's Fifth Report dated March 31, 2022 and the Trustee's Fourth Report dated January 14, 2022;
- c. The fees of both the Trustee and its counsel are consistent with prior fee approvals (proportionate to the activities undertaken in the relevant period) and have been properly supported, including through both the fee affidavits and supporting exhibits; and
- d. The sealing of specific exhibits (where there is a risk to the recoveries for investors associated with their public disclosure) is justified under the *Sierra Club of Canada v. Canada (Minister of Finance),* 2002 SCC test, and consistent with prior orders made in this proceeding.

[4] Order to go in the form signed by me today, with immediate effect and without the necessity of formal entry.

rmel J.

KIMMEL J.